

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,013	UEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Baum	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) Morris Liss.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 November 2006

Time: 12:14

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Informed the applicants representative, Mr. Liss, that a response to the previous office action was overdue. He subsequently informed me the case has gone abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)